Application Number 09/643,729 Amendment dated, April 12, 2004 Reply to Office action of December 1, 2003

## R-E-M-A-R-K-S

The Examiner states that the disclosure of the abstract is objected because the term "add" in line 5 is not appropriate.

Accordingly, the Applicant has amended "add" to read -adds-- in line 5 of the abstract.

The Examiner states that the disclosure of the specification is objected to because of informalities which are correct as detailed below.

The Applicant has inserted after paragraph 3 of Page 15 a description of Fig. 4. The applicant believes that the description is fully supported by the whole disclosure.

The Applicant has amended "comunications" to read -communications-in page 1 line 7.

The Applicant has amended "exists" to read -exist-- in page 1, line 17.

The Applicant has amended "authorised" to read —authorized— in page 11, line 14.

The Applicant has amended "synchronise" to read -synchronize-- and "summarises" to read -summarizes-- in page 13 line 15 and in page 13 lines 18 and 20 respectively.

The Applicant has amended "compress" to read -compresses-- in page 15, line 25.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1, 4, 5, 7 and 15 because of various informalities which are corrected as detailed below.

The Applicant has amended "for each of said at least one wireless terminal at least one wireless terminal identifier" to read --, for each of said at least one wireless terminal, at least one wireless terminal identifier-- in claim 1, lines 4-5.

The Applicant has amended "in order to for" to read –for-- at line 3 of claim 4.

The Applicant has amended "many of said at least one destinations" to read --many of said at least one destination-- in line 4 of claim 4.

Application Number 09/643,729
Amendment dated, April 12, 2004
Reply to Office action of December 1, 2003

The Applicant has amended "one of said destination address" to read -one of said destination addresses-- in line 2 of claim 5.

The Applicant has amended "headers information" to read --header information-- in line 3 of claim 7.

The Applicant has amended "said packets" to read --said data packets-- in line 3 of claim 7.

The Applicant has amended "header info" to read —header Information-- in line 3 of claim 15.

The Applicant believes that the foregoing amendments overcome all objections.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-12 and 14-18 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

The Applicant has amended "said wireless terminal" to read --said at least one wireless terminal-- in line 6 of claim 1.

The Applicant has amended "the communication" to read —a communication— at line 3 of claim 2.

The Applicant has amended "the header information" to read --said information—at line 3 of claim 3.

The Applicant has amended "said wireless terminal to read --said at least one wireless terminal-- at lines 3-4 of claim 3.

The Applicant has amended "said destinations" to read --said destination address-- at lines 3 and 4 of claim 4.

The Applicant has amended "said header converter" to read —said header builder-- in line 5 of claim 5.

The Applicant has amended "said mobile terminal" to read —said wireless mobile terminal— in line 2 of claim 15.

The Applicant has amended "header converter" to read -memory manager-- in lines 2-3 of claim 15.

The Applicant has amended "header" to read —header information-- in line 4 of claim 17.

Application Number 09/643,729
Amendment dated, April 12, 2004
Reply to Office action of December 1, 2003

The Applicant has further amended "header created" to read -created header-- line 13 of claim 17.

The Applicant has further amended "header" to read –header information—in line 5 of claim 18.

The Applicant has further amended "header created" to read -created header-- line 15 of claim 18.

The Applicant has amended "The apparatus" to read —The gateway-- in line 1 of claims 2-12.

The Applicant has amended "The apparatus" to read —The wireless terminal—in line 1 of claims 14-16.

The Applicant believes that the foregoing amendments overcome all rejections.

Furthermore, the Applicant has amended "in claim 2" to read -in claim 3--in line 1 of claim 4.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration of the rejection of new claims 1-12 and 14-18 is respectfully requested. It is believed that claims 1-18 are allowable over the prior art and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Yvon Bergeron

By:

C. Marc BENOÎT (Reg. No. 50,200)

Agent of Record

Tel. Nº (514) 847-4462

Customer Number 020988